CF 1 Dec (3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.

W.P.No. . .1148. .2004.

Petitioner : Shrimati Vijay Laxmi Shrivastava,
Aged about 58 years,
W/O Laxmi Narayan Shrivastava,
Assistant Mahila Bal Vikas Vistar
Adhikari, Durg Tahsil and District,
DURG.

Versus

Respondents :(1) State Govt. of Chhattisgarh
Through - The Secretary,
Mahila and Bal Vikas Ministry,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1121 104
Presented by Shri R.S. Shrivastava
dated 15-11-04

(2) The Director of Mahila & Bal Vikas,
RAIPUR (C.G.).

(3) Pariyojna Adhikari,
Mahila and Bal Vikash Department,
DURG (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

(4) District Programme Officer,
Mahila and Bal Vikash Department,
Durg.

(5) Smt. Khairunisha Khan
Sahayak Mahila Bal Vikas Adhikari
Bal Sanrakshan Grih,
DURG (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

The petitioner respectfully furnishes the

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(27)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 1148 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	27.04.2004 Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. It is submitted that the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been granted kramonnati pay scale. Learned counsel further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation afresh before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a month, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

(Rsd)